

DEBATE UNIT 2

NATIONALISM & IMPERIALISM

MONDAY - WEDNESDAY (NOVEMBER 19TH - 21ST)

DEBATE STRUCTURE

- Judges introduce the debate
- Construction (present your argument)
 - Prime Minister- 2:00
 - Leader of Opposition- 2:00
 - Member of Parliament- 2:00
 - Member of Opposition- 2:00
 - Includes 2 Points of Clarification from Judges
- Rebuttal (refute your opponent)
 - Prime Minister - 1:00
 - Leader of Opposition - 1:00
 - Prime Minister - 1:00
 - Leader of Opposition - 1:00
- Judges conclude debate

DEBATE GROUPS

- 1. Be it resolved, imperialism and colonialism are essential practices and are beneficial to the world.**
The proposition team will be arguing that these practices not only benefitted industrial nations but also nations that were colonized, whereas the opposition will be arguing that these concepts had negative impacts on nations around the world.
 - Proposition
 - Prime Minister: Alibasic, Isuf
 - Member of Parliament: Clarke, Divine, Smolinski, Veronica
 - Opposition
 - Leader of Opposition: Huang, Ivan
 - Member of Opposition: Lin, Xin, Szewczyk, Christopher
 - Judge: Flores Lucero, Melanie, Rosaly, Nicholas
- 2. Be it resolved, imperialism led to greater state formation than contraction**
The proposition team will argue that imperialist actions led to greater state formation as seen in Meiji Japan or influence in nationalism and the unification of Germany. The opposition side will discuss state contraction as a greater effect such as the Ottoman Empire.
 - Proposition
 - Prime Minister: Arshad, Kazi
 - Member of Parliament: Coronel, Kevin
 - Opposition
 - Leader of Opposition: Jarama, Erick
 - Member of Opposition: Luna, Keily
 - Judge: Fraczek, Olivia, Sierra, Juleerose

3. Be it resolved, nationalism in the nineteenth century was more a result of imperialist actions than any other factors.

The proposition will argue how imperialism/industrial efforts led to reform movements and nationalist feelings in different parts of the world. The opposition side however, will focus on how these things came about as a result of internal factors and problems, such as civil war in China impacting the Self-Strengthening movement, new state formation in Europe like in Germany and Italy, increasing nationalism in old empires due to ethnic differences, etc.

- a. Proposition
 - i. Prime Minister: Caicedo, Kiara
 - ii. Member of Parliament: Durakovic, Anida,
- b. Opposition
 - i. Leader of Opposition: Li, Aaron
 - ii. Member of Opposition: Paredes, Karen
- c. Judge: Hasanovic, Ariana, Vicari, Giovanna

4. Be it resolved, migration in the late 19th century led to more global questions and issues than benefits.

The proposition will argue that global migration in the 19th century led to more questions/concerns/problems than positive effects. The opposition will argue that there were increased benefits for migrants and receiving countries due to 19th century global migration

- a. Proposition
 - i. Prime Minister: Chavez, Gissel
 - ii. Member of Parliament: Espinoza, Camille
- b. Opposition
 - i. Leader of Opposition: Li, Jacob
 - ii. Member of Opposition: Perez, Bryan
- c. Judge: Hernandez, Emily, Tung, Winkie

5. Be it resolved, indentured servitude was a more humane alternative to slavery.

The proposition will argue that indentured servitude was positive and had beneficial results compared to slavery, whereas the opposition will argue that indentured servitude is just as harmful and unfair as slavery.

- a. Proposition
 - i. Prime Minister: Chen, Annie
 - ii. Member of Parliament: Filipkowski, Nicholas, Villalba, Andrea
- b. Opposition
 - i. Leader of Opposition: Reyes Villanuev, Rocio
 - ii. Member of Opposition: Li, Yu Xin, Zamora, Maria Julith
- c. Judge: Hernandez, Sebastian, Reyes, Mara